

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/772,499 | 02/05/2004 | Maung W. Han | ALPINE.045AUS | 5663 | |
| | 7590 12/20/2006 J & ASSOCIATES | EXAMINER | | | |
| Suite 310 114 Pacifica Irvine, CA 92618 | | | TRAN, DALENA | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| n vine, en 520 | | | 3661 | | |
| | | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 2 MONTHS | | 12/20/2006 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/772,499 | HAN, MAUNG W. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Dalena Tran | 3661 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe | ebruary 2004. | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ice except for formal matters, pr | osecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. | , | • | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,8-12,16,17 and 23-27</u> is/are reject | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>3-7,13-15,18-22 and 28-30</u> is/are obje | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | ſ. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce | epted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | · · | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/16/04. | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | | | |



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|------------------------------|-------------|--|-------------|---------------------|
| 10 772 499 | | | | • |
| | | ٠. | EXAMINER | |
| | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER |
| | | | | 20061212 |
| | | | DATE MAILED | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

This application has been examine. Claims 1-30 are pending.
 The prior art submitted on 8/16/04 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 8, 10, 12, 16-17, 23, 25, and 27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell (7076505) in view of Yokota (US 2002/0065605 A1).

As per claim 1, Campbell discloses a display method for a navigation system for searching and displaying objects clustered within a search area, comprising the following steps of: defining a size and shape of a search template for searching objects in the search area (see columns 12-13, lines 18-56); and scanning the search template on the search area by displacing its position by a predetermined increment (see columns 14-15, lines 24-60; and columns 30-32, lines 43-36). Campbell does not disclose counting and recording a number of objects. However, Yokota discloses counting and recording a number of objects on the search area within the search template at every increment of displacement position, thereby creating an object distribution matrix (see [0030-0037]); applying a cluster search algorithm to the object distribution matrix, thereby detecting distribution of clusters of the objects in the search area (see [0038-0042]); and displaying the clusters of the objects in the search area by a predetermined display

Art Unit: 3661

method (see [0043-0048]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Campbell by combining counting and recording a number of objects for keep track the total number of objects in the navigation search area.

As per claim 2, Campbell discloses objects are points of interest of a specified type within the search area (see column 16, lines 42-67).

As per claim 8, Campbell discloses step of displaying the clusters of the objects in the search area includes a step of listing the clusters of objects in an order of cluster size where the cluster size is a number of the objects in a small portion in the search area (see columns 19-20, lines 40-45).

As per claim 10, Campbell discloses step of displaying the clusters of the objects in the search area includes a step of listing names of the objects within a specified cluster thereby allowing the user to select one of the objects (see columns 26-27, lines 43-55).

As per claim 12, Campbell does not disclose map image. However, Yokota discloses step of displaying the clusters of the objects in the search area includes a step of displaying a map image showing distribution of the objects within a selected cluster (see [0043-0048]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Campbell by combining map image for displaying vehicle position corresponds to display POI.

Claims 16-17, 23, 25, and 27 are apparatus claims corresponding to method claims 1-2, 8, 10, and 12 above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

Art Unit: 3661

4. Claims 9, 11, 24, and 26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell (7076505), and Yokota (US 2002/0065605 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hanon et al. (US 2003/0231208 A1).

As per claim 9, Campbell, and Yokota do not disclose listing the clusters of objects in an order of distance. However, Hanon et al. disclose step of displaying the clusters of the objects in the search area includes a step of listing the clusters of objects in an order of distance from a current user position (see [0114-0120]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Campbell, and Yokota by combining listing the clusters of objects in an order of distance for the user of planning a POI to select on the travel route.

As per claim 11, Hanon et al. disclose listing the names in an order of distance from an object at a center of the selected cluster or an alphabetical order of the names of the objects (see [0094-0097]; and [0104-0110]).

Claims 24, and 26 are apparatus claims corresponding to method claims 1-2, 8, 9, and 11 above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

5. Claims 3-7, 13-15, 18-22, and 28-30, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - . Burdick et al. (US 2004/0107205A1)
 - . Geelen (US 2004/0243307A1)

Art Unit: 3661

. Fruchterman et al. (5470233)

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-6968.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM-4:00 PM), off every other

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner

Dalena Tran

December 12, 2006

Dalerman